

Community IPM – Inside and Outside



SITUATION	INPUTS	OUTPUTS		OUTCOMES - IMPACT		
		Activities	Participation	Short	Medium	Long Term
Problem and need: Many schools have unmanaged pests, poorly managed landscapes and poorly maintained turf. Many schools still have scheduled pesticide applicationsirrespective of pest prevalence. There is a need to facilitate the transition to reduced-risk IPM practices by: 1) Increasing awareness of IPM practices, sound horticultural and turf management techniques. 2) Improving understanding of reduced-risk options and benefits	What is invested: 1) UA IPM team time 2) Collaborator time 3) Travel expenses incurred related to education and evaluation events 4) Grant support 5) Materials and consumables (printedmaterials, and associated costs related to the support of demonstration sites).	What is done: 1) Outreach materials and fact sheets providing information supportive of healthier, better managed schools 2) Training of PMPs and school community (providing CEUs) 3) Volunteer opportunities for faculty, staff, vendors, students and parents to help improve landscapesand increase their appreciation and knowledge of living systems and the beauty of a naturalistic landscape 4) Pest Press publications 5) Web delivered outreach materials www.azipmtips.blogs.pot.com 6) Assessment survey tool designed to measure changes in practical management techniques. 7) Self-evaluation and third partyevaluations of the inside and outside environment	 Who is reached: PMPs School facility managers, staff, and faculty. Parents Local media 	• Improved awareness knowledge and understanding of risks associated with pests. • Improved awareness of new reduced-risk management options • Improved awareness of newly emerging pests (bed bugs) • Improved awareness of benefits of IPM. • Improved knowledge of IPM's cost effectiveness • Improved awareness of the benefits of a naturalistic landscape and improved turf management practices. Assessment: • On-line survey tool used to measure changes in practices • Pre-test/post-test to assess changes in knowledge, attitudes, satisfaction, and awareness	Medium term results Increased use of reduced-risk management options Schools train and educate staff/faculty on IPM strategies Parents and school boards requestuse of IPM practices Children influence parent's management of pests in their homes Assessment: Measure reduced use of pesticides and alterations in management practices. Monitor policy changes—measure increase in schoolsthat adopt IPM policies Monitor reduced number of complaints (pest sightings) from facility staff/faculty.	Healthier school environments Reduced exposure to pests and pesticides Reduced injuries due to turf problems Reduced absenteeism due to asthma Improvement in landscape functionality and health Improved academic achievement Assessment: Measure number of school districts that become IPM STAR Certified Injury and asthma incidence tracking Pest nuisance reporting Pesticide use